



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,603	04/16/2001	Sarah S. Bacus	MBHB00-327-A	3061
20306	7590 04/22/2004		EXAM	INER
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE			SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S	
32ND FLOOI	- <del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, I	L 60606		1645	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A It - At - m At -	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/835,603	BACUS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khatol S Shahnan-Shah	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 2-16 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicants' amendments and response received 1/26/2004 is acknowledged. Claim 1 has been canceled. New claims 14-16 have been added. Specification pages 11-13 have been amended.
- 2. Currently claims 2-16 are pending and under consideration.

### Prior Citations of Title 35 Sections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

### Prior Citations of References

4. The references cited or used as prior art in support of one or more rejections in the instant office action have been previously cited and made of record. No form PTO-892 has been submitted with this office action.

#### **Drawings**

5. Applicants have submitted formal drawings to replace the drawings filed on April 16, 2001. These drawings are in form of colored photographs. Color photographs and color drawings are acceptable only for examination purposes unless a petition filed under 37 CFR 1.84(a)(2) is granted permitting their use as acceptable drawings. In the event that applicant wishes to use the drawings currently on file as acceptable drawings, a petition must be filed for acceptance of the color photographs or color drawings as acceptable drawings. Any such petition must be accompanied by the appropriate fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h), three sets of color drawings or color photographs, as appropriate, and, unless already present, an amendment to include the

Art Unit: 1645

following language as the first paragraph of the brief description of the drawings section of the specification:

The patent or application file contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application publication with color drawing(s) will be provided by the Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

Color photographs will be accepted if the conditions for accepting color drawings have been satisfied.

# Objections Withdrawn

6. Objections to the specification made in paragraph 4 of the office action mailed October 21, 2003 have been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and response.

# Rejections Moot

- 7. Rejection of claim 1 made in paragraph 6 of the office action mailed October 21, 2003 is most in view of applicants' cancellation of said claim.
- 8. Rejection of claim 1 made in paragraph 8 of the office action mailed October 21, 2003 is most in view of applicants' cancellation of said claim.
- 9. Rejection of claim 1made in paragraph 10 of the office action mailed October 21, 2003 is most in view of applicants' cancellation of said claim.

### Rejections Withdrawn

- 10. Rejection of claims 2-13 made in paragraph 8 of the office action mailed October 21, 2003 have been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and response.
- 11. Rejection of claims 2-13 made in paragraph 10 of the office action mailed October 21, 2003 have been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and response.

Art Unit: 1645

# Rejections Maintained

12. Rejection of claims 2, 6, 8, 10 and 12 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1-7, 10 and 14 of copending Application No. 09/760,120 made in paragraph 8 of the office action mailed October 21, 2003 has been maintained. No terminal disclaimer has been submitted by the applicants.

Note: Newly added claims 14-16 are also rejected under judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-7, 10 and 14 of copending Application No. 09/760,120. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of both applications are drawn to a method for determining the quantity of a protein (AKT) in a sample.

#### New Rejections

13. Claims 2-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of copending Application No. 10/225,826. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of both applications are drawn to a method for determining an expression level of a target protein (AKT) in a sample.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 1645

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol S Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (571)-272-0863. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F Smith can be reached on (571)-272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

h

Khatol Shahnan-Shah, BS, Pharm, MS

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1645

April 17, 2004

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER